

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/140,230 10/20/93 FENOUIL **EXAMINER** HARVEY, D 26M1/0403 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER THERESA F. CAMORIÁNO CAMORIANO & SMITH P.O. BOX 43610 LOUISVILLE, KY 40253-0610 2602 DATE MAILED: 04/03/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on_ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. X Claims _ are pending in the application. Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims have been cancelled 3. 🔯 Claims 5 /5 4. 🔀 Claims /— Y 5. Claims _ are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R, 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ _. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. __ ; filed on __ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

MENT TO

PTOL-326 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial Number: 08/140,230 -2-

Art Unit: 2602

1. With respect to the "Information Disclosure Statement" filed 1/31/94, the examiner acknowledges applicant's request to have the delay in the filing of the statement excused. However, it is noted that the examiner of record does not have the authority to excuse the delay. Such can only be obtained by petition.

Given the above, it is noted that the disclosure statment filed 1/31/94 will not be considered at this time.

- 2. The amendment filed filed 1/23/95 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 132 because it introduces new matter into the specification. 35 U.S.C. § 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
- 1) the insertion in line 9 on page 11 which, by applicant's own admission (see the "remarks" filed 1/23/95), attempts to add to the original description an explicit statement pertaining to matters which were at best originally implicit.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the response to this Office action.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use

-3-

Art Unit: 2602

the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

- 1) It is noted that to actually have provided only the recited switching between the upstream, downstream, and user inputs/outputs it appears to be inherent that any switch would have required less than half of the recited NxN switching points. Specifically, applicant's disclosed invention actually appears to have been in configuring the transmission system so as to have allowed the system to use fewer switching points rather than in producing a switch which requires fewer switching points for a given number of inputs as presently appears to be claimed. Clarification is needed.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-4365.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

DEH 4/1/95

Doub Eldervey Exerciser Gup 2602